## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs. ORDER

Miguel Rios-Quintero (1), Erivan Argenix Gomez (3), No. 16-cr-161 (MJD/LIB)

Defendants.

The above-entitled matter comes before the Court on Defendants' objections to the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois dated September 8, 2016 in which he recommends the Court deny their motions to suppress evidence.

Pursuant to statute, the Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the record.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.2(b). Based on that review, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and will deny Defendants' motions to suppress evidence. Accordingly,

CASE 0:16-cr-00161-MJD-LIB Document 131 Filed 10/20/16 Page 2 of 2

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That Defendant Gomez's Motion to Suppress Evidence Acquired by

Means of Illegal Search and Seizure, [Docket No. 70], is **DENIED**.

2. That Defendant Rios-Quintero's Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained

in Violation of the Fourth Amendment, [Docket No. 78], is **DENIED**.

3. That Defendant Gomez's Motion for Severance of Defendants, [Docket

No. 65], is **DENIED** without prejudice.

DATED: October 20, 2016

s/Michael J. Davis

Michael J. Davis

**United States District Court** 

2